UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,978	11/20/2003	Jon Schell	279.357US2	8156
21186 7590 01/19/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2938	8		279.357US2 8156	AN, MARK
MINNEAPOLI	15, MIN 55402			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		\bigcirc	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		SCHELL, JON	
Office Action Summary	10/717,978	Art Unit	
,,	Examiner Mad: W. Backstroom		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Mark W. Bockelman	3766 correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 (2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 34-66 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) 38,39,52-58,60 and 61 is/are allowe 6) Claim(s) 34-37, 47-51, 62-66 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. d.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination The specification The specificatio	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Driarity under 25 H.S.C. & 449			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/717,978

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 34-37, 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scott USPN 5,005,587.

Scott teaches a braid conductor (figure 2) 43 that has a composite coating (layer 54 and layer 56 deposited on top with a coil member 24 disposed inside with insulation material 22 between the first coil conductor and the second braid conductor. The product by process claims provide no distinguishable structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 34-37, 47-51, 65-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bush et al USPN 5,674,272 in view of Scott USPN 5,005,587. Bush teaches a multilayer member as shown in figure 7 including a first conductor 114 and a second conductor with composite layers between the conductors as well as forming an outer layer. While coil members are shown in Bush, applicant claims at least one is a braid member and while Bush acknowledges that they may be of any shape he does not specify a braid. Using a braid conductor for that of a coil would have been an obvious choice to those of ordinary skill in the art with Scott showing such a

Application/Control Number: 10/717,978

Art Unit: 3766

construction. Applicant's new claims 65-66 merely recite other known methods of coating tubes and would have been an obvious alternative.

Claims 34-37, 61-64rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skubitz USPN 5,851226 in view of Bush et al USPN 5,674,272 or vice versa. Skubitz teaches a coil containing lead in a braided catheter. The examiner considers the braid to be a conductor since no electrode is specified to be connected to the braid in the claims. To have used the composite coating of Bush on the Skubitz lead, or alternatively to have used the Bush lead with a braided guide catheter would have been obvious.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 38-39, 52-57, 58, 60-61 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10-20-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner considers it obvious to used a braided conductor outside of a coiled conductor in the Bush reference since he states that any type of conductor may be used.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

Art Unit: 3766

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MWB

January 8, 2007

MARK BOCKELMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER